

Housing Affordability and Supply Data by State

Metro Area	Income Level	Share of affordable listings - March 2025	Share of affordable listings - March 2024	Share of affordable listings - Balanced Market	Number of affordable listings-March 2025	Number of additional affordable listings in the past year	Number of affordable listings missing from the market	Maximum Price
Alabama	\$15K	1.8%	2.3%	11.3%	532	(54)	(2,799)	\$ 53,450
Alabama	\$25K	4.6%	5.7%	20.4%	1,349	(81)	(4,663)	\$ 89,080
Alabama	\$35K	8.4%	9.8%	28.9%	2,471	22	(6,045)	\$ 124,710
Alabama	\$50K	17.1%	17.4%	40.8%	5,025	674	(6,997)	\$ 178,160
Alabama	\$75K	38.0%	37.4%	57.2%	11,195	1,860	(5,636)	\$ 267,250
Alabama	\$100K	59.1%	57.7%	69.3%	17,391	2,987	(3,002)	\$ 356,330
Alabama	\$125K	72.1%	71.8%	78.5%	21,216	3,307	(1,899)	\$ 445,410
Alabama	\$150K	79.7%	79.2%	85.0%	23,458	3,687	(1,565)	\$ 534,490
Alabama	\$200K	89.0%	88.3%	91.9%	26,190	4,146	(871)	\$ 712,660
Alabama	\$250K	93.4%	93.2%	95.1%	27,509	4,255	(499)	\$ 890,830
Alabama	\$500K	98.3%	98.3%	98.5%	28,945	4,422	(43)	\$ 1,781,650
Alabama	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	29,440	4,494	-	\$ -
Alaska	\$15K	0.3%	0.6%	6.3%	8	(4)	(146)	\$ 49,890
Alaska	\$25K	1.8%	2.8%	11.4%	43	(18)	(236)	\$ 83,150
Alaska	\$35K	4.2%	5.0%	16.4%	102	(7)	(301)	\$ 116,410
Alaska	\$50K	9.3%	9.9%	25.4%	229	15	(394)	\$ 166,300
Alaska	\$75K	17.9%	19.0%	40.0%	439	28	(543)	\$ 249,450
Alaska	\$100K	31.5%	34.7%	53.0%	772	22	(528)	\$ 332,610
Alaska	\$125K	49.1%	53.5%	64.8%	1,206	48	(383)	\$ 415,760
Alaska	\$150K	65.4%	69.4%	73.9%	1,606	104	(208)	\$ 498,910
Alaska	\$200K	82.8%	85.1%	85.2%	2,031	189	(60)	\$ 665,220
Alaska	\$250K	91.0%	92.7%	91.0%	2,234	228	1	\$ 831,520
Alaska	\$500K	98.7%	99.1%	97.0%	2,421	277	41	\$ 1,663,050
Alaska	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	2,454	290	-	\$ -
Arizona	\$15K	0.1%	0.1%	7.8%	40	8	(3,769)	\$ 54,920
Arizona	\$25K	0.3%	0.3%	13.9%	125	28	(6,606)	\$ 91,530
Arizona	\$35K	0.6%	0.5%	20.5%	312	113	(9,668)	\$ 128,140
Arizona	\$50K	1.9%	1.6%	31.3%	909	297	(14,304)	\$ 183,060
Arizona	\$75K	8.3%	7.4%	48.0%	4,031	1,291	(19,289)	\$ 274,600
Arizona	\$100K	25.7%	22.2%	61.3%	12,503	4,236	(17,285)	\$ 366,130
Arizona	\$125K	46.3%	42.9%	71.9%	22,488	6,546	(12,445)	\$ 457,660
Arizona	\$150K	60.3%	57.8%	79.7%	29,303	7,818	(9,411)	\$ 549,200
Arizona	\$200K	76.6%	74.8%	88.4%	37,208	9,389	(5,776)	\$ 732,260
Arizona	\$250K	85.0%	83.3%	92.8%	41,320	10,371	(3,766)	\$ 915,330
Arizona	\$500K	94.9%	94.3%	97.6%	46,114	11,050	(1,296)	\$ 1,830,660
Arizona	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	48,598	11,427	-	\$ -
Arkansas	\$15K	2.4%	2.5%	10.9%	416	41	(1,497)	\$ 50,800
Arkansas	\$25K	5.6%	6.6%	20.4%	978	4	(2,594)	\$ 84,660
Arkansas	\$35K	10.4%	11.7%	29.5%	1,826	93	(3,344)	\$ 118,530
Arkansas	\$50K	20.9%	22.4%	42.6%	3,662	334	(3,801)	\$ 169,330
Arkansas	\$75K	42.9%	42.4%	60.0%	7,524	1,227	(2,995)	\$ 254,000
Arkansas	\$100K	61.0%	60.3%	72.6%	10,701	1,743	(2,030)	\$ 338,660
Arkansas	\$125K	74.7%	73.7%	81.6%	13,090	2,150	(1,213)	\$ 423,330
Arkansas	\$150K	83.0%	81.9%	87.4%	14,553	2,389	(776)	\$ 508,000
Arkansas	\$200K	90.3%	90.5%	93.3%	15,822	2,379	(530)	\$ 677,330
Arkansas	\$250K	94.0%	94.4%	95.9%	16,485	2,472	(328)	\$ 846,670
Arkansas	\$500K	98.7%	98.9%	98.7%	17,303	2,618	-	\$ 1,693,340
Arkansas	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	17,530	2,685	-	\$ -
California	\$15K	0.1%	0.1%	7.6%	140	13	(8,398)	\$ 54,140
California	\$25K	0.2%	0.3%	13.1%	234	9	(14,440)	\$ 90,220
California	\$35K	0.4%	0.4%	18.8%	418	53	(20,620)	\$ 126,320
California	\$50K	0.9%	0.9%	27.2%	982	193	(29,555)	\$ 180,450
California	\$75K	3.3%	3.3%	40.6%	3,718	823	(41,767)	\$ 270,680
California	\$100K	9.3%	9.1%	52.3%	10,425	2,579	(48,213)	\$ 360,910
California	\$125K	19.0%	18.2%	62.3%	21,347	5,563	(48,432)	\$ 451,140
California	\$150K	28.9%	28.9%	70.1%	32,402	7,378	(46,137)	\$ 541,360
California	\$200K	47.5%	48.0%	80.6%	53,290	11,717	(37,061)	\$ 721,820
California	\$250K	62.2%	61.1%	86.0%	69,729	16,794	(26,647)	\$ 902,270
California	\$500K	85.3%	84.9%	93.7%	95,646	22,161	(9,355)	\$ 1,804,550
California	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	112,084	25,502	-	\$ -
Colorado	\$15K	0.9%	0.8%	6.1%	346	140	(1,970)	\$ 56,030
Colorado	\$25K	1.2%	1.2%	11.0%	466	158	(3,730)	\$ 93,370
Colorado	\$35K	1.7%	1.7%	16.4%	654	206	(5,581)	\$ 130,720
Colorado	\$50K	3.1%	3.1%	25.1%	1,188	366	(8,374)	\$ 186,750
Colorado	\$75K	9.1%	8.2%	39.5%	3,460	1,323	(11,563)	\$ 280,120
Colorado	\$100K	18.9%	17.4%	52.3%	7,192	2,636	(12,701)	\$ 373,500

Metro Area	Income Level	Share of affordable listings - March 2025	Share of affordable listings - March 2024	Share of affordable listings - Balanced Market	Number of affordable listings-March 2025	Number of additional affordable listings in the past year	Number of affordable listings missing from the market	Maximum Price
Colorado	\$125K	33.9%	30.9%	63.0%	12,883	4,804	(11,078)	\$ 466,870
Colorado	\$150K	49.3%	46.4%	71.6%	18,740	6,597	(8,481)	\$ 560,250
Colorado	\$200K	69.2%	66.4%	82.7%	26,297	8,922	(5,143)	\$ 747,000
Colorado	\$250K	79.8%	77.2%	88.6%	30,329	10,130	(3,360)	\$ 933,750
Colorado	\$500K	92.7%	91.2%	95.5%	35,240	11,369	(1,090)	\$ 1,867,500
Colorado	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	38,025	11,856	-	\$ -
Connecticut	\$15K	0.2%	0.2%	7.5%	18	1	(648)	\$ 48,790
Connecticut	\$25K	0.7%	0.5%	13.0%	59	14	(1,102)	\$ 81,320
Connecticut	\$35K	1.1%	1.2%	18.8%	97	(6)	(1,576)	\$ 113,850
Connecticut	\$50K	3.6%	3.9%	27.2%	318	(12)	(2,106)	\$ 162,640
Connecticut	\$75K	12.2%	14.0%	40.4%	1,084	(90)	(2,508)	\$ 243,960
Connecticut	\$100K	29.4%	30.7%	52.2%	2,616	53	(2,029)	\$ 325,280
Connecticut	\$125K	44.5%	44.9%	62.1%	3,963	209	(1,562)	\$ 406,600
Connecticut	\$150K	54.7%	56.6%	70.0%	4,868	139	(1,363)	\$ 487,920
Connecticut	\$200K	70.6%	70.4%	81.0%	6,287	400	(924)	\$ 650,570
Connecticut	\$250K	79.3%	78.2%	86.4%	7,061	522	(632)	\$ 813,210
Connecticut	\$500K	91.3%	90.1%	94.2%	8,131	602	(251)	\$ 1,626,420
Connecticut	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	8,902	542	-	\$ -
Delaware	\$15K	0.2%	0.1%	6.5%	7	4	(271)	\$ 56,220
Delaware	\$25K	0.6%	0.7%	12.0%	27	2	(491)	\$ 93,690
Delaware	\$35K	1.6%	1.6%	18.1%	71	15	(708)	\$ 131,170
Delaware	\$50K	4.7%	4.4%	28.0%	201	43	(1,006)	\$ 187,390
Delaware	\$75K	14.3%	13.8%	43.3%	614	121	(1,249)	\$ 281,090
Delaware	\$100K	32.0%	30.2%	56.6%	1,378	299	(1,057)	\$ 374,790
Delaware	\$125K	51.1%	50.8%	67.7%	2,200	385	(714)	\$ 468,490
Delaware	\$150K	66.6%	66.3%	76.4%	2,868	498	(421)	\$ 562,180
Delaware	\$200K	85.2%	84.8%	86.5%	3,667	638	(58)	\$ 749,580
Delaware	\$250K	91.8%	90.4%	91.8%	3,951	721	(1)	\$ 936,970
Delaware	\$500K	97.9%	97.3%	97.2%	4,217	741	33	\$ 1,873,950
Delaware	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	4,306	732	-	\$ -
District of Columbia	\$15K	0.4%	0.5%	9.8%	15	1	(361)	\$ 55,310
District of Columbia	\$25K	0.8%	0.8%	14.9%	32	8	(536)	\$ 92,180
District of Columbia	\$35K	1.7%	1.6%	19.3%	66	17	(670)	\$ 129,050
District of Columbia	\$50K	3.7%	4.1%	25.8%	140	17	(847)	\$ 184,360
District of Columbia	\$75K	10.9%	9.8%	37.5%	415	121	(1,017)	\$ 276,540
District of Columbia	\$100K	20.4%	18.9%	47.9%	778	209	(1,052)	\$ 368,720
District of Columbia	\$125K	33.4%	32.1%	56.6%	1,278	312	(887)	\$ 460,900
District of Columbia	\$150K	43.7%	41.7%	64.1%	1,669	411	(781)	\$ 553,080
District of Columbia	\$200K	61.0%	60.5%	74.6%	2,330	508	(520)	\$ 737,440
District of Columbia	\$250K	72.5%	73.3%	80.3%	2,772	563	(298)	\$ 921,800
District of Columbia	\$500K	91.7%	91.5%	90.1%	3,503	744	58	\$ 1,843,610
District of Columbia	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	3,822	808	-	\$ -
Florida	\$15K	0.1%	0.1%	8.6%	224	35	(21,915)	\$ 51,660
Florida	\$25K	0.5%	0.3%	15.5%	1,193	570	(38,941)	\$ 86,090
Florida	\$35K	1.8%	1.1%	23.2%	4,667	2,377	(55,298)	\$ 120,530
Florida	\$50K	5.8%	4.6%	34.7%	15,014	5,282	(74,701)	\$ 172,190
Florida	\$75K	17.0%	14.7%	51.5%	44,006	12,917	(89,240)	\$ 258,290
Florida	\$100K	34.3%	31.4%	64.5%	88,602	22,294	(78,180)	\$ 344,390
Florida	\$125K	51.2%	48.3%	74.3%	132,340	30,218	(59,864)	\$ 430,490
Florida	\$150K	61.6%	59.9%	81.3%	159,270	32,669	(50,811)	\$ 516,580
Florida	\$200K	74.9%	73.6%	89.0%	193,708	38,084	(36,474)	\$ 688,780
Florida	\$250K	82.6%	81.5%	92.9%	213,536	41,190	(26,600)	\$ 860,980
Florida	\$500K	92.7%	92.3%	97.4%	239,782	44,627	(12,083)	\$ 1,721,960
Florida	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	258,561	47,121	-	\$ -
Georgia	\$15K	0.5%	0.7%	8.7%	321	(79)	(5,550)	\$ 51,690
Georgia	\$25K	1.5%	1.8%	15.7%	1,027	62	(9,516)	\$ 86,140
Georgia	\$35K	2.9%	3.3%	23.0%	1,977	195	(13,478)	\$ 120,600
Georgia	\$50K	6.5%	6.8%	33.7%	4,372	643	(18,281)	\$ 172,280
Georgia	\$75K	20.4%	19.2%	49.9%	13,679	3,237	(19,868)	\$ 258,430
Georgia	\$100K	41.1%	39.7%	62.9%	27,587	5,946	(14,665)	\$ 344,570
Georgia	\$125K	60.1%	58.8%	72.8%	40,358	8,281	(8,571)	\$ 430,710
Georgia	\$150K	71.2%	71.1%	80.1%	47,824	9,069	(5,983)	\$ 516,860
Georgia	\$200K	83.8%	83.4%	88.6%	56,320	10,834	(3,182)	\$ 689,140
Georgia	\$250K	90.2%	89.8%	92.5%	60,583	11,629	(1,566)	\$ 861,430
Georgia	\$500K	97.2%	97.1%	97.3%	65,326	12,375	(25)	\$ 1,722,860
Georgia	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	67,176	12,653	-	\$ -

Metro Area	Income Level	Share of affordable listings - March 2025	Share of affordable listings - March 2024	Share of affordable listings - Balanced Market	Number of affordable listings-March 2025	Number of additional affordable listings in the past year	Number of affordable listings missing from the market	Maximum Price
Hawaii	\$15K	0.3%	0.5%	7.5%	21	(7)	(610)	\$ 57,870
Hawaii	\$25K	0.8%	1.3%	12.6%	63	(13)	(991)	\$ 96,450
Hawaii	\$35K	1.8%	2.6%	17.6%	147	-	(1,327)	\$ 135,030
Hawaii	\$50K	3.3%	4.4%	25.8%	276	26	(1,888)	\$ 192,900
Hawaii	\$75K	8.4%	8.8%	40.4%	701	207	(2,681)	\$ 289,360
Hawaii	\$100K	16.5%	16.0%	52.7%	1,381	481	(3,029)	\$ 385,810
Hawaii	\$125K	26.1%	25.4%	63.6%	2,187	755	(3,142)	\$ 482,260
Hawaii	\$150K	35.2%	32.8%	72.4%	2,951	1,101	(3,107)	\$ 578,720
Hawaii	\$200K	50.1%	44.8%	83.5%	4,194	1,670	(2,800)	\$ 771,620
Hawaii	\$250K	61.6%	56.5%	88.8%	5,160	1,977	(2,275)	\$ 964,530
Hawaii	\$500K	84.8%	81.5%	95.9%	7,100	2,505	(932)	\$ 1,929,060
Hawaii	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	8,373	2,738	-	\$ -
Idaho	\$15K	0.1%	0.1%	6.4%	6	(7)	(699)	\$ 56,070
Idaho	\$25K	0.1%	0.3%	12.6%	13	(15)	(1,386)	\$ 93,450
Idaho	\$35K	0.2%	0.5%	19.7%	26	(18)	(2,153)	\$ 130,830
Idaho	\$50K	0.9%	1.4%	30.7%	99	(32)	(3,296)	\$ 186,900
Idaho	\$75K	5.6%	6.1%	48.5%	618	39	(4,748)	\$ 280,350
Idaho	\$100K	18.0%	18.4%	63.0%	1,990	252	(4,981)	\$ 373,800
Idaho	\$125K	38.9%	38.6%	74.1%	4,303	657	(3,898)	\$ 467,250
Idaho	\$150K	54.2%	54.6%	81.9%	5,993	839	(3,076)	\$ 560,700
Idaho	\$200K	71.8%	72.6%	90.1%	7,944	1,084	(2,029)	\$ 747,610
Idaho	\$250K	81.2%	81.8%	94.0%	8,990	1,264	(1,418)	\$ 934,510
Idaho	\$500K	94.0%	94.3%	98.0%	10,399	1,494	(448)	\$ 1,869,020
Idaho	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	11,067	1,653	-	\$ -
Illinois	\$15K	2.2%	2.7%	8.7%	845	(130)	(2,420)	\$ 48,130
Illinois	\$25K	6.4%	6.9%	15.1%	2,403	(83)	(3,286)	\$ 80,220
Illinois	\$35K	10.5%	12.1%	21.9%	3,965	(368)	(4,280)	\$ 112,310
Illinois	\$50K	20.3%	21.0%	31.8%	7,653	122	(4,329)	\$ 160,440
Illinois	\$75K	37.3%	37.1%	47.1%	14,044	718	(3,694)	\$ 240,660
Illinois	\$100K	54.1%	53.4%	59.8%	20,351	1,201	(2,135)	\$ 320,880
Illinois	\$125K	68.5%	66.2%	70.1%	25,780	2,022	(587)	\$ 401,100
Illinois	\$150K	77.2%	75.5%	77.8%	29,038	1,935	(218)	\$ 481,320
Illinois	\$200K	86.7%	85.5%	87.0%	32,627	1,950	(122)	\$ 641,760
Illinois	\$250K	91.5%	90.0%	91.3%	34,433	2,127	72	\$ 802,200
Illinois	\$500K	97.1%	96.7%	96.9%	36,537	1,854	100	\$ 1,604,400
Illinois	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	37,621	1,872	-	\$ -
Indiana	\$15K	1.7%	2.3%	8.4%	419	(95)	(1,692)	\$ 52,110
Indiana	\$25K	4.4%	5.7%	15.3%	1,101	(157)	(2,755)	\$ 86,850
Indiana	\$35K	9.3%	10.2%	23.2%	2,330	90	(3,501)	\$ 121,580
Indiana	\$50K	20.5%	22.7%	35.0%	5,159	198	(3,647)	\$ 173,690
Indiana	\$75K	45.3%	44.7%	52.5%	11,406	1,621	(1,809)	\$ 260,540
Indiana	\$100K	65.9%	64.7%	66.1%	16,587	2,422	(49)	\$ 347,390
Indiana	\$125K	80.1%	78.9%	76.5%	20,166	2,901	896	\$ 434,240
Indiana	\$150K	87.3%	87.2%	83.7%	21,977	2,891	886	\$ 521,090
Indiana	\$200K	93.7%	93.7%	91.5%	23,597	3,088	558	\$ 694,790
Indiana	\$250K	96.6%	96.4%	95.0%	24,339	3,237	420	\$ 868,480
Indiana	\$500K	99.3%	99.2%	98.4%	25,005	3,298	220	\$ 1,736,970
Indiana	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	25,184	3,321	-	\$ -
Iowa	\$15K	2.1%	2.3%	7.0%	306	21	(720)	\$ 52,070
Iowa	\$25K	5.6%	6.4%	13.9%	827	39	(1,220)	\$ 86,790
Iowa	\$35K	11.5%	11.4%	21.0%	1,693	277	(1,394)	\$ 121,500
Iowa	\$50K	24.9%	24.6%	32.2%	3,654	597	(1,074)	\$ 173,580
Iowa	\$75K	47.8%	45.2%	49.5%	7,016	1,405	(250)	\$ 260,370
Iowa	\$100K	65.6%	63.9%	63.1%	9,630	1,708	360	\$ 347,160
Iowa	\$125K	79.8%	79.4%	73.9%	11,720	1,871	860	\$ 433,950
Iowa	\$150K	87.3%	87.2%	81.9%	12,824	2,009	787	\$ 520,740
Iowa	\$200K	93.8%	94.2%	90.6%	13,787	2,101	483	\$ 694,320
Iowa	\$250K	96.8%	97.0%	94.5%	14,224	2,186	337	\$ 867,900
Iowa	\$500K	99.5%	99.5%	98.4%	14,612	2,269	163	\$ 1,735,810
Iowa	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	14,691	2,291	-	\$ -
Kansas	\$15K	1.8%	2.9%	7.7%	209	(89)	(672)	\$ 48,190
Kansas	\$25K	6.0%	7.8%	14.6%	685	(105)	(976)	\$ 80,310
Kansas	\$35K	11.0%	13.4%	22.1%	1,254	(102)	(1,267)	\$ 112,430
Kansas	\$50K	22.2%	23.9%	33.4%	2,534	119	(1,267)	\$ 160,620
Kansas	\$75K	40.7%	40.8%	50.7%	4,636	513	(1,135)	\$ 240,930
Kansas	\$100K	57.5%	55.9%	64.2%	6,547	901	(760)	\$ 321,240

Metro Area	Income Level	Share of affordable listings - March 2025	Share of affordable listings - March 2024	Share of affordable listings - Balanced Market	Number of affordable listings-March 2025	Number of additional affordable listings in the past year	Number of affordable listings missing from the market	Maximum Price
Kansas	\$125K	70.2%	68.1%	74.6%	8,000	1,118	(492)	\$ 401,550
Kansas	\$150K	77.9%	76.5%	82.0%	8,873	1,146	(463)	\$ 481,860
Kansas	\$200K	87.0%	87.0%	90.0%	9,914	1,129	(341)	\$ 642,480
Kansas	\$250K	93.1%	92.6%	93.8%	10,602	1,247	(86)	\$ 803,100
Kansas	\$500K	98.0%	97.8%	97.9%	11,164	1,285	15	\$ 1,606,210
Kansas	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	11,390	1,404	-	\$ -
Kentucky	\$15K	1.4%	1.8%	11.0%	233	(10)	(1,624)	\$ 51,610
Kentucky	\$25K	3.5%	4.5%	19.5%	587	(35)	(2,721)	\$ 86,010
Kentucky	\$35K	6.8%	7.6%	28.0%	1,145	97	(3,598)	\$ 120,420
Kentucky	\$50K	15.7%	17.3%	40.1%	2,652	263	(4,133)	\$ 172,020
Kentucky	\$75K	39.4%	39.2%	57.1%	6,674	1,251	(2,984)	\$ 258,040
Kentucky	\$100K	62.3%	62.7%	69.6%	10,551	1,881	(1,231)	\$ 344,050
Kentucky	\$125K	77.3%	77.0%	79.0%	13,081	2,437	(297)	\$ 430,060
Kentucky	\$150K	84.7%	85.3%	85.7%	14,338	2,546	(164)	\$ 516,080
Kentucky	\$200K	92.2%	92.7%	92.5%	15,611	2,801	(41)	\$ 688,100
Kentucky	\$250K	95.9%	95.9%	95.5%	16,233	2,976	67	\$ 860,130
Kentucky	\$500K	99.0%	99.1%	98.6%	16,760	3,055	67	\$ 1,720,260
Kentucky	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	16,925	3,123	-	\$ -
Louisiana	\$15K	2.5%	2.8%	13.1%	547	4	(2,277)	\$ 51,760
Louisiana	\$25K	6.4%	6.2%	23.0%	1,369	151	(3,577)	\$ 86,260
Louisiana	\$35K	11.3%	10.7%	32.2%	2,436	349	(4,478)	\$ 120,770
Louisiana	\$50K	21.9%	20.9%	44.0%	4,693	608	(4,763)	\$ 172,530
Louisiana	\$75K	45.9%	45.3%	60.1%	9,867	1,034	(3,042)	\$ 258,800
Louisiana	\$100K	66.7%	65.0%	71.4%	14,317	1,628	(1,026)	\$ 345,070
Louisiana	\$125K	78.1%	77.4%	80.0%	16,784	1,671	(405)	\$ 431,340
Louisiana	\$150K	84.6%	84.7%	86.0%	18,172	1,650	(298)	\$ 517,600
Louisiana	\$200K	91.5%	91.2%	92.7%	19,646	1,846	(262)	\$ 690,140
Louisiana	\$250K	95.0%	94.6%	95.5%	20,394	1,935	(116)	\$ 862,670
Louisiana	\$500K	98.7%	98.6%	98.7%	21,199	1,952	10	\$ 1,725,350
Louisiana	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	21,478	1,964	-	\$ -
Maine	\$15K	1.0%	0.9%	7.7%	43	10	(301)	\$ 52,270
Maine	\$25K	2.9%	3.2%	14.9%	129	11	(538)	\$ 87,120
Maine	\$35K	5.1%	6.5%	22.2%	229	(10)	(766)	\$ 121,970
Maine	\$50K	10.5%	11.8%	33.3%	469	34	(1,023)	\$ 174,240
Maine	\$75K	22.8%	22.9%	49.9%	1,024	181	(1,214)	\$ 261,370
Maine	\$100K	35.2%	35.1%	63.3%	1,579	287	(1,259)	\$ 348,490
Maine	\$125K	49.2%	49.2%	73.7%	2,205	394	(1,103)	\$ 435,620
Maine	\$150K	58.8%	59.2%	81.4%	2,640	462	(1,011)	\$ 522,740
Maine	\$200K	74.4%	73.2%	89.8%	3,338	642	(691)	\$ 696,990
Maine	\$250K	83.8%	83.2%	93.9%	3,758	695	(456)	\$ 871,240
Maine	\$500K	94.9%	94.5%	98.0%	4,257	777	(139)	\$ 1,742,480
Maine	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	4,486	804	-	\$ -
Maryland	\$15K	1.7%	2.3%	7.0%	360	(7)	(1,113)	\$ 50,200
Maryland	\$25K	2.9%	4.2%	11.7%	612	(48)	(1,854)	\$ 83,670
Maryland	\$35K	4.5%	6.2%	16.9%	948	(34)	(2,621)	\$ 117,130
Maryland	\$50K	8.6%	11.0%	25.1%	1,810	73	(3,466)	\$ 167,330
Maryland	\$75K	20.2%	22.8%	38.2%	4,259	668	(3,791)	\$ 251,000
Maryland	\$100K	33.0%	36.7%	50.3%	6,957	1,177	(3,639)	\$ 334,670
Maryland	\$125K	48.1%	52.3%	60.8%	10,136	1,906	(2,668)	\$ 418,340
Maryland	\$150K	63.4%	64.0%	69.3%	13,352	3,278	(1,231)	\$ 502,010
Maryland	\$200K	79.0%	79.7%	80.9%	16,636	4,085	(395)	\$ 669,350
Maryland	\$250K	87.8%	88.0%	86.6%	18,489	4,627	259	\$ 836,690
Maryland	\$500K	97.0%	96.8%	94.4%	20,434	5,197	545	\$ 1,673,380
Maryland	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	21,058	5,311	-	\$ -
Massachusetts	\$15K	0.1%	0.1%	8.3%	14	8	(1,099)	\$ 53,530
Massachusetts	\$25K	0.1%	0.2%	14.0%	17	(2)	(1,851)	\$ 89,210
Massachusetts	\$35K	0.2%	0.4%	19.4%	29	(16)	(2,564)	\$ 124,900
Massachusetts	\$50K	0.8%	1.0%	27.5%	107	(14)	(3,562)	\$ 178,420
Massachusetts	\$75K	3.9%	4.6%	39.7%	521	(14)	(4,791)	\$ 267,640
Massachusetts	\$100K	10.8%	11.7%	50.8%	1,450	106	(5,341)	\$ 356,850
Massachusetts	\$125K	19.7%	21.0%	60.4%	2,635	213	(5,437)	\$ 446,060
Massachusetts	\$150K	29.7%	30.2%	68.4%	3,972	487	(5,169)	\$ 535,280
Massachusetts	\$200K	48.7%	48.1%	79.6%	6,506	963	(4,131)	\$ 713,700
Massachusetts	\$250K	61.9%	61.9%	85.2%	8,278	1,144	(3,111)	\$ 892,130
Massachusetts	\$500K	84.2%	83.8%	93.5%	11,251	1,587	(1,251)	\$ 1,784,270
Massachusetts	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	13,365	1,831	-	\$ -

Metro Area	Income Level	Share of affordable listings - March 2025	Share of affordable listings - March 2024	Share of affordable listings - Balanced Market	Number of affordable listings-March 2025	Number of additional affordable listings in the past year	Number of affordable listings missing from the market	Maximum Price
Michigan	\$15K	2.6%	3.7%	9.1%	877	(250)	(2,160)	\$ 49,250
Michigan	\$25K	8.3%	10.3%	16.5%	2,748	(366)	(2,727)	\$ 82,080
Michigan	\$35K	13.8%	16.0%	24.4%	4,570	(287)	(3,531)	\$ 114,910
Michigan	\$50K	25.0%	27.7%	36.2%	8,312	(82)	(3,718)	\$ 164,160
Michigan	\$75K	44.6%	45.9%	53.3%	14,802	868	(2,903)	\$ 246,240
Michigan	\$100K	61.2%	61.6%	66.4%	20,303	1,620	(1,739)	\$ 328,320
Michigan	\$125K	73.5%	74.0%	76.3%	24,390	1,946	(925)	\$ 410,400
Michigan	\$150K	80.6%	80.9%	83.2%	26,769	2,226	(860)	\$ 492,480
Michigan	\$200K	89.5%	89.0%	91.0%	29,702	2,711	(494)	\$ 656,640
Michigan	\$250K	93.5%	93.5%	94.3%	31,057	2,689	(253)	\$ 820,800
Michigan	\$500K	98.1%	98.2%	98.2%	32,554	2,784	(46)	\$ 1,641,610
Michigan	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	33,199	2,871	-	\$ -
Minnesota	\$15K	0.3%	0.4%	6.6%	67	(8)	(1,291)	\$ 51,130
Minnesota	\$25K	1.2%	1.4%	12.0%	246	(29)	(2,230)	\$ 85,210
Minnesota	\$35K	2.9%	3.1%	17.9%	607	5	(3,099)	\$ 119,300
Minnesota	\$50K	8.9%	8.3%	27.3%	1,833	239	(3,809)	\$ 170,430
Minnesota	\$75K	23.2%	23.2%	42.8%	4,807	344	(4,051)	\$ 255,650
Minnesota	\$100K	42.3%	40.5%	56.1%	8,749	936	(2,856)	\$ 340,870
Minnesota	\$125K	59.9%	59.1%	67.1%	12,395	1,000	(1,491)	\$ 426,090
Minnesota	\$150K	71.8%	72.6%	75.7%	14,863	868	(805)	\$ 511,300
Minnesota	\$200K	84.9%	85.1%	86.3%	17,582	1,171	(290)	\$ 681,740
Minnesota	\$250K	91.3%	91.4%	91.4%	18,897	1,270	(13)	\$ 852,180
Minnesota	\$500K	97.8%	97.7%	97.0%	20,243	1,406	165	\$ 1,704,350
Minnesota	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	20,698	1,422	-	\$ -
Mississippi	\$15K	2.9%	3.3%	13.0%	356	16	(1,266)	\$ 49,340
Mississippi	\$25K	6.7%	8.0%	23.5%	827	7	(2,098)	\$ 82,230
Mississippi	\$35K	11.1%	12.5%	32.8%	1,378	92	(2,702)	\$ 115,130
Mississippi	\$50K	21.4%	22.9%	45.5%	2,657	309	(2,996)	\$ 164,470
Mississippi	\$75K	40.4%	42.0%	62.0%	5,026	717	(2,679)	\$ 246,700
Mississippi	\$100K	61.4%	62.0%	73.9%	7,633	1,268	(1,547)	\$ 328,940
Mississippi	\$125K	76.8%	77.3%	82.3%	9,548	1,621	(683)	\$ 411,180
Mississippi	\$150K	85.1%	85.2%	88.1%	10,573	1,828	(375)	\$ 493,410
Mississippi	\$200K	92.8%	92.5%	93.9%	11,530	2,036	(145)	\$ 657,890
Mississippi	\$250K	95.9%	96.1%	96.4%	11,920	2,065	(67)	\$ 822,360
Mississippi	\$500K	99.4%	99.3%	99.0%	12,349	2,161	51	\$ 1,644,720
Mississippi	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	12,428	2,169	-	\$ -
Missouri	\$15K	2.0%	2.6%	8.9%	577	(57)	(2,022)	\$ 50,020
Missouri	\$25K	5.1%	7.1%	16.5%	1,491	(234)	(3,293)	\$ 83,370
Missouri	\$35K	9.6%	11.4%	24.4%	2,790	7	(4,292)	\$ 116,720
Missouri	\$50K	20.5%	22.1%	36.3%	5,939	556	(4,611)	\$ 166,740
Missouri	\$75K	42.8%	41.8%	53.7%	12,442	2,255	(3,139)	\$ 250,120
Missouri	\$100K	60.6%	60.2%	66.7%	17,604	2,950	(1,771)	\$ 333,490
Missouri	\$125K	73.9%	73.7%	76.7%	21,470	3,519	(797)	\$ 416,860
Missouri	\$150K	82.6%	81.8%	83.7%	23,995	4,085	(324)	\$ 500,240
Missouri	\$200K	90.6%	90.7%	91.3%	26,309	4,218	(210)	\$ 666,980
Missouri	\$250K	94.6%	94.3%	94.8%	27,470	4,503	(49)	\$ 833,730
Missouri	\$500K	98.7%	98.7%	98.3%	28,669	4,632	113	\$ 1,667,460
Missouri	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	29,039	4,695	-	\$ -
Montana	\$15K	0.9%	1.7%	8.1%	52	(35)	(413)	\$ 52,180
Montana	\$25K	1.8%	2.5%	15.5%	106	(22)	(785)	\$ 86,970
Montana	\$35K	2.5%	3.4%	23.0%	146	(27)	(1,180)	\$ 121,760
Montana	\$50K	4.3%	5.9%	34.9%	250	(54)	(1,755)	\$ 173,950
Montana	\$75K	11.1%	12.3%	52.0%	639	8	(2,354)	\$ 260,920
Montana	\$100K	19.3%	21.1%	65.8%	1,109	31	(2,678)	\$ 347,890
Montana	\$125K	31.0%	31.8%	76.2%	1,781	158	(2,605)	\$ 434,870
Montana	\$150K	41.8%	42.2%	83.3%	2,404	248	(2,392)	\$ 521,840
Montana	\$200K	60.8%	58.8%	90.9%	3,498	492	(1,730)	\$ 695,790
Montana	\$250K	71.8%	71.3%	94.4%	4,134	489	(1,300)	\$ 869,740
Montana	\$500K	88.8%	88.0%	98.2%	5,111	614	(540)	\$ 1,739,490
Montana	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	5,754	643	-	\$ -
Nebraska	\$15K	0.5%	0.7%	7.3%	41	(6)	(503)	\$ 47,290
Nebraska	\$25K	1.9%	2.2%	13.9%	142	(10)	(894)	\$ 78,810
Nebraska	\$35K	4.2%	5.2%	20.9%	315	(38)	(1,248)	\$ 110,340
Nebraska	\$50K	9.7%	12.1%	32.2%	725	(93)	(1,684)	\$ 157,630
Nebraska	\$75K	26.5%	26.1%	48.9%	1,980	217	(1,677)	\$ 236,440
Nebraska	\$100K	45.8%	44.5%	62.4%	3,425	419	(1,235)	\$ 315,250

Metro Area	Income Level	Share of affordable listings - March 2025	Share of affordable listings - March 2024	Share of affordable listings - Balanced Market	Number of affordable listings-March 2025	Number of additional affordable listings in the past year	Number of affordable listings missing from the market	Maximum Price
Nebraska	\$125K	63.3%	61.9%	73.1%	4,728	544	(736)	\$ 394,070
Nebraska	\$150K	74.9%	74.5%	81.0%	5,600	561	(455)	\$ 472,880
Nebraska	\$200K	88.6%	88.1%	89.8%	6,619	664	(89)	\$ 630,510
Nebraska	\$250K	94.2%	94.2%	93.9%	7,038	669	18	\$ 788,140
Nebraska	\$500K	98.9%	98.9%	98.1%	7,392	709	63	\$ 1,576,280
Nebraska	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	7,473	713	-	\$ -
Nevada	\$15K	0.0%	0.2%	8.5%	6	(21)	(1,356)	\$ 54,810
Nevada	\$25K	0.1%	0.4%	14.8%	18	(28)	(2,343)	\$ 91,350
Nevada	\$35K	0.4%	0.7%	22.0%	64	(14)	(3,460)	\$ 127,890
Nevada	\$50K	2.7%	2.4%	33.3%	428	151	(4,888)	\$ 182,700
Nevada	\$75K	11.4%	10.9%	50.1%	1,828	568	(6,184)	\$ 274,040
Nevada	\$100K	24.5%	23.6%	63.6%	3,919	1,183	(6,244)	\$ 365,390
Nevada	\$125K	45.3%	44.4%	74.1%	7,238	2,098	(4,606)	\$ 456,740
Nevada	\$150K	60.8%	60.7%	81.6%	9,725	2,701	(3,323)	\$ 548,090
Nevada	\$200K	78.0%	77.7%	89.8%	12,466	3,466	(1,894)	\$ 730,790
Nevada	\$250K	85.3%	85.3%	93.6%	13,640	3,757	(1,316)	\$ 913,490
Nevada	\$500K	94.2%	94.0%	97.9%	15,062	4,182	(595)	\$ 1,826,990
Nevada	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	15,985	4,405	-	\$ -
New Hampshire	\$15K	1.2%	1.4%	5.2%	47	3	(157)	\$ 51,160
New Hampshire	\$25K	1.6%	1.6%	10.2%	62	12	(335)	\$ 85,260
New Hampshire	\$35K	2.0%	2.0%	15.7%	77	14	(533)	\$ 119,370
New Hampshire	\$50K	3.4%	3.6%	24.2%	131	18	(810)	\$ 170,530
New Hampshire	\$75K	8.9%	10.3%	39.1%	347	24	(1,174)	\$ 255,790
New Hampshire	\$100K	18.8%	20.2%	51.6%	732	95	(1,277)	\$ 341,060
New Hampshire	\$125K	32.7%	35.0%	62.5%	1,274	172	(1,159)	\$ 426,320
New Hampshire	\$150K	46.6%	49.2%	71.2%	1,813	262	(960)	\$ 511,590
New Hampshire	\$200K	68.8%	69.4%	82.8%	2,680	493	(544)	\$ 682,120
New Hampshire	\$250K	82.5%	81.7%	88.8%	3,214	642	(244)	\$ 852,650
New Hampshire	\$500K	96.0%	95.8%	95.8%	3,740	723	8	\$ 1,705,310
New Hampshire	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	3,894	744	-	\$ -
New Jersey	\$15K	0.2%	0.2%	7.0%	47	4	(1,798)	\$ 47,440
New Jersey	\$25K	0.3%	0.5%	12.1%	92	(36)	(3,084)	\$ 79,060
New Jersey	\$35K	0.8%	1.1%	17.6%	217	(63)	(4,421)	\$ 110,690
New Jersey	\$50K	2.1%	3.1%	25.7%	565	(193)	(6,193)	\$ 158,130
New Jersey	\$75K	7.5%	9.2%	38.8%	1,966	(283)	(8,255)	\$ 237,190
New Jersey	\$100K	17.2%	19.5%	50.3%	4,530	(228)	(8,706)	\$ 316,260
New Jersey	\$125K	28.9%	31.2%	60.2%	7,615	25	(8,241)	\$ 395,330
New Jersey	\$150K	40.8%	43.6%	68.3%	10,736	124	(7,247)	\$ 474,390
New Jersey	\$200K	60.6%	61.5%	79.7%	15,944	979	(5,021)	\$ 632,520
New Jersey	\$250K	72.7%	73.0%	85.3%	19,127	1,351	(3,322)	\$ 790,660
New Jersey	\$500K	91.3%	91.2%	93.7%	24,023	1,807	(629)	\$ 1,581,310
New Jersey	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	26,318	1,968	-	\$ -
New Mexico	\$15K	0.5%	0.6%	11.0%	44	4	(933)	\$ 52,940
New Mexico	\$25K	1.6%	2.1%	20.2%	143	(7)	(1,644)	\$ 88,230
New Mexico	\$35K	3.3%	4.2%	28.7%	293	-	(2,252)	\$ 123,530
New Mexico	\$50K	8.7%	9.4%	40.5%	768	106	(2,824)	\$ 176,470
New Mexico	\$75K	22.0%	21.4%	57.0%	1,954	445	(3,095)	\$ 264,700
New Mexico	\$100K	43.5%	43.6%	69.0%	3,859	786	(2,256)	\$ 352,940
New Mexico	\$125K	62.0%	63.0%	78.2%	5,493	1,057	(1,435)	\$ 441,170
New Mexico	\$150K	72.7%	73.3%	84.9%	6,442	1,284	(1,078)	\$ 529,410
New Mexico	\$200K	84.6%	84.3%	92.1%	7,494	1,557	(665)	\$ 705,880
New Mexico	\$250K	90.0%	90.2%	95.3%	7,975	1,627	(473)	\$ 882,350
New Mexico	\$500K	97.3%	96.8%	98.6%	8,623	1,810	(115)	\$ 1,764,710
New Mexico	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	8,862	1,821	-	\$ -
New York	\$15K	0.6%	0.7%	10.3%	313	(31)	(4,991)	\$ 46,020
New York	\$25K	1.8%	2.1%	17.0%	906	(100)	(7,813)	\$ 76,700
New York	\$35K	3.4%	3.7%	23.4%	1,767	(1)	(10,243)	\$ 107,380
New York	\$50K	6.9%	7.4%	32.6%	3,545	46	(13,189)	\$ 153,400
New York	\$75K	15.2%	15.2%	46.5%	7,802	628	(16,080)	\$ 230,100
New York	\$100K	23.6%	23.6%	58.0%	12,103	947	(17,693)	\$ 306,810
New York	\$125K	30.4%	30.2%	67.4%	15,606	1,332	(19,011)	\$ 383,510
New York	\$150K	36.9%	36.8%	74.6%	18,950	1,558	(19,391)	\$ 460,210
New York	\$200K	49.0%	49.7%	84.1%	25,195	1,740	(18,014)	\$ 613,620
New York	\$250K	60.9%	61.1%	88.5%	31,288	2,457	(14,197)	\$ 767,020
New York	\$500K	82.3%	81.3%	95.0%	42,310	3,937	(6,509)	\$ 1,534,050
New York	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	51,387	4,176	-	\$ -

Metro Area	Income Level	Share of affordable listings - March 2025	Share of affordable listings - March 2024	Share of affordable listings - Balanced Market	Number of affordable listings-March 2025	Number of additional affordable listings in the past year	Number of affordable listings missing from the market	Maximum Price
North Carolina	\$15K	0.2%	0.3%	8.7%	142	16	(5,125)	\$ 53,400
North Carolina	\$25K	0.8%	0.9%	16.1%	467	3	(9,245)	\$ 88,990
North Carolina	\$35K	1.8%	2.1%	23.7%	1,108	61	(13,234)	\$ 124,590
North Carolina	\$50K	5.1%	5.5%	35.5%	3,092	373	(18,371)	\$ 177,990
North Carolina	\$75K	18.2%	18.4%	52.4%	10,981	1,870	(20,664)	\$ 266,990
North Carolina	\$100K	40.9%	39.7%	65.2%	24,716	5,052	(14,667)	\$ 355,980
North Carolina	\$125K	59.6%	59.7%	74.9%	36,008	6,456	(9,226)	\$ 444,980
North Carolina	\$150K	70.9%	70.6%	81.8%	42,850	7,914	(6,588)	\$ 533,970
North Carolina	\$200K	83.6%	82.9%	89.6%	50,548	9,509	(3,603)	\$ 711,970
North Carolina	\$250K	89.7%	88.9%	93.4%	54,230	10,256	(2,200)	\$ 889,960
North Carolina	\$500K	97.0%	96.8%	97.7%	58,637	10,740	(381)	\$ 1,779,920
North Carolina	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	60,431	11,053	-	\$ -
North Dakota	\$15K	1.0%	1.7%	8.1%	23	(14)	(170)	\$ 47,280
North Dakota	\$25K	4.3%	4.7%	14.6%	103	(2)	(242)	\$ 78,800
North Dakota	\$35K	8.9%	8.5%	21.6%	212	24	(300)	\$ 110,310
North Dakota	\$50K	14.8%	14.7%	32.1%	351	25	(410)	\$ 157,590
North Dakota	\$75K	27.1%	28.5%	48.6%	643	11	(509)	\$ 236,390
North Dakota	\$100K	41.4%	46.4%	61.7%	981	(50)	(481)	\$ 315,190
North Dakota	\$125K	58.5%	62.3%	72.3%	1,387	4	(327)	\$ 393,990
North Dakota	\$150K	70.6%	76.1%	80.5%	1,673	(17)	(234)	\$ 472,790
North Dakota	\$200K	85.1%	88.7%	89.5%	2,017	47	(104)	\$ 630,380
North Dakota	\$250K	91.9%	94.1%	93.7%	2,179	89	(43)	\$ 787,980
North Dakota	\$500K	99.0%	99.3%	98.0%	2,347	143	24	\$ 1,575,970
North Dakota	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	2,370	152	-	\$ -
Ohio	\$15K	1.7%	2.9%	9.3%	530	(242)	(2,394)	\$ 49,530
Ohio	\$25K	5.9%	8.8%	16.7%	1,868	(444)	(3,376)	\$ 82,550
Ohio	\$35K	11.7%	15.0%	24.5%	3,685	(273)	(4,012)	\$ 115,570
Ohio	\$50K	25.5%	29.3%	36.2%	8,015	298	(3,361)	\$ 165,110
Ohio	\$75K	47.8%	50.4%	53.3%	15,006	1,718	(1,735)	\$ 247,660
Ohio	\$100K	66.2%	66.1%	66.3%	20,786	3,367	(57)	\$ 330,220
Ohio	\$125K	77.7%	77.8%	76.2%	24,408	3,911	467	\$ 412,770
Ohio	\$150K	85.1%	84.5%	83.2%	26,745	4,483	609	\$ 495,330
Ohio	\$200K	92.2%	91.4%	90.9%	28,971	4,895	405	\$ 660,440
Ohio	\$250K	95.3%	95.0%	94.4%	29,955	4,935	290	\$ 825,550
Ohio	\$500K	98.9%	98.9%	98.2%	31,086	5,032	227	\$ 1,651,110
Ohio	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	31,420	5,151	-	\$ -
Oklahoma	\$15K	2.1%	1.9%	9.8%	472	106	(1,733)	\$ 50,590
Oklahoma	\$25K	5.4%	5.9%	18.1%	1,203	83	(2,857)	\$ 84,310
Oklahoma	\$35K	9.8%	10.5%	26.9%	2,194	208	(3,845)	\$ 118,030
Oklahoma	\$50K	20.1%	20.9%	39.4%	4,511	564	(4,354)	\$ 168,620
Oklahoma	\$75K	42.5%	42.1%	57.0%	9,560	1,603	(3,256)	\$ 252,930
Oklahoma	\$100K	62.1%	62.3%	69.7%	13,956	2,186	(1,706)	\$ 337,240
Oklahoma	\$125K	75.0%	74.9%	79.1%	16,863	2,719	(912)	\$ 421,550
Oklahoma	\$150K	82.5%	82.5%	85.5%	18,561	2,992	(669)	\$ 505,860
Oklahoma	\$200K	90.7%	91.1%	92.4%	20,399	3,189	(377)	\$ 674,480
Oklahoma	\$250K	94.7%	94.7%	95.5%	21,286	3,401	(176)	\$ 843,100
Oklahoma	\$500K	98.9%	98.7%	98.6%	22,240	3,596	63	\$ 1,686,210
Oklahoma	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	22,485	3,605	-	\$ -
Oregon	\$15K	0.4%	0.5%	7.8%	88	12	(1,447)	\$ 52,760
Oregon	\$25K	0.6%	0.7%	14.2%	123	10	(2,669)	\$ 87,930
Oregon	\$35K	0.9%	1.0%	20.7%	176	3	(3,885)	\$ 123,100
Oregon	\$50K	1.9%	1.8%	31.3%	375	68	(5,754)	\$ 175,860
Oregon	\$75K	6.6%	6.2%	47.2%	1,294	263	(7,959)	\$ 263,800
Oregon	\$100K	17.7%	16.2%	60.3%	3,463	749	(8,359)	\$ 351,730
Oregon	\$125K	32.9%	32.8%	70.8%	6,442	949	(7,433)	\$ 439,660
Oregon	\$150K	47.9%	48.5%	78.7%	9,386	1,274	(6,041)	\$ 527,600
Oregon	\$200K	71.6%	70.7%	87.8%	14,033	2,200	(3,179)	\$ 703,460
Oregon	\$250K	82.7%	82.7%	92.2%	16,205	2,377	(1,863)	\$ 879,330
Oregon	\$500K	96.0%	95.7%	97.3%	18,814	2,797	(257)	\$ 1,758,660
Oregon	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	19,606	2,886	-	\$ -
Pennsylvania	\$15K	1.7%	2.1%	8.7%	602	(69)	(2,526)	\$ 48,320
Pennsylvania	\$25K	5.7%	5.9%	15.8%	2,045	114	(3,627)	\$ 80,520
Pennsylvania	\$35K	9.5%	10.7%	23.2%	3,401	(66)	(4,894)	\$ 112,740
Pennsylvania	\$50K	18.4%	20.1%	33.9%	6,585	49	(5,563)	\$ 161,050
Pennsylvania	\$75K	36.3%	38.4%	50.1%	12,992	506	(4,942)	\$ 241,580
Pennsylvania	\$100K	53.4%	54.5%	62.8%	19,133	1,405	(3,355)	\$ 322,110

Metro Area	Income Level	Share of affordable listings - March 2025	Share of affordable listings - March 2024	Share of affordable listings - Balanced Market	Number of affordable listings-March 2025	Number of additional affordable listings in the past year	Number of affordable listings missing from the market	Maximum Price
Pennsylvania	\$125K	68.0%	67.7%	72.8%	24,347	2,343	(1,704)	\$ 402,630
Pennsylvania	\$150K	76.7%	76.7%	80.0%	27,460	2,518	(1,194)	\$ 483,160
Pennsylvania	\$200K	86.9%	86.7%	88.6%	31,110	2,939	(598)	\$ 644,220
Pennsylvania	\$250K	92.3%	91.5%	92.5%	33,033	3,305	(78)	\$ 805,270
Pennsylvania	\$500K	97.8%	97.5%	97.3%	35,008	3,329	166	\$ 1,610,550
Pennsylvania	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	35,802	3,304	-	\$ -
Rhode Island	\$15K	0.3%	0.1%	9.0%	7	4	(229)	\$ 48,340
Rhode Island	\$25K	0.3%	0.3%	15.5%	8	2	(396)	\$ 80,560
Rhode Island	\$35K	0.4%	0.9%	21.4%	10	(11)	(549)	\$ 112,780
Rhode Island	\$50K	0.9%	2.9%	30.0%	23	(42)	(762)	\$ 161,110
Rhode Island	\$75K	3.7%	8.0%	44.6%	97	(84)	(1,068)	\$ 241,670
Rhode Island	\$100K	11.4%	19.6%	57.2%	298	(147)	(1,198)	\$ 322,230
Rhode Island	\$125K	32.1%	42.1%	67.8%	838	(118)	(934)	\$ 402,790
Rhode Island	\$150K	49.1%	55.7%	76.2%	1,282	15	(709)	\$ 483,350
Rhode Island	\$200K	67.9%	73.2%	86.4%	1,773	110	(485)	\$ 644,470
Rhode Island	\$250K	78.9%	81.3%	91.3%	2,061	214	(325)	\$ 805,590
Rhode Island	\$500K	92.4%	93.6%	97.0%	2,415	287	(120)	\$ 1,611,180
Rhode Island	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	2,613	340	-	\$ -
South Carolina	\$15K	0.6%	0.8%	9.8%	217	(37)	(3,423)	\$ 54,810
South Carolina	\$25K	1.7%	1.9%	17.4%	643	80	(5,829)	\$ 91,340
South Carolina	\$35K	3.3%	3.6%	25.5%	1,233	146	(8,251)	\$ 127,880
South Carolina	\$50K	8.8%	8.2%	37.9%	3,273	804	(10,805)	\$ 182,680
South Carolina	\$75K	28.0%	26.7%	55.0%	10,398	2,359	(10,035)	\$ 274,020
South Carolina	\$100K	52.4%	52.6%	68.0%	19,496	3,688	(5,782)	\$ 365,370
South Carolina	\$125K	67.5%	68.3%	77.5%	25,113	4,574	(3,716)	\$ 456,710
South Carolina	\$150K	76.0%	77.3%	84.1%	28,253	5,021	(3,035)	\$ 548,050
South Carolina	\$200K	85.8%	86.6%	91.3%	31,900	5,862	(2,055)	\$ 730,730
South Carolina	\$250K	90.6%	90.7%	94.6%	33,686	6,406	(1,477)	\$ 913,420
South Carolina	\$500K	96.7%	96.7%	98.2%	35,947	6,879	(573)	\$ 1,826,840
South Carolina	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	37,184	7,114	-	\$ -
South Dakota	\$15K	0.5%	0.8%	7.3%	15	(8)	(222)	\$ 46,910
South Dakota	\$25K	1.5%	2.0%	13.9%	50	(6)	(402)	\$ 78,180
South Dakota	\$35K	3.0%	3.6%	21.1%	98	1	(587)	\$ 109,450
South Dakota	\$50K	6.4%	7.0%	31.9%	207	16	(829)	\$ 156,360
South Dakota	\$75K	16.3%	17.3%	49.6%	528	55	(1,083)	\$ 234,540
South Dakota	\$100K	34.6%	34.2%	63.4%	1,123	190	(934)	\$ 312,720
South Dakota	\$125K	54.7%	54.2%	74.5%	1,774	293	(644)	\$ 390,900
South Dakota	\$150K	68.1%	68.4%	82.5%	2,209	339	(468)	\$ 469,080
South Dakota	\$200K	81.3%	81.8%	90.8%	2,638	404	(311)	\$ 625,440
South Dakota	\$250K	87.8%	89.0%	94.8%	2,851	420	(228)	\$ 781,800
South Dakota	\$500K	97.3%	97.4%	98.5%	3,157	497	(39)	\$ 1,563,610
South Dakota	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	3,246	514	-	\$ -
Tennessee	\$15K	0.4%	0.6%	8.8%	199	(11)	(3,761)	\$ 52,690
Tennessee	\$25K	1.5%	1.9%	16.4%	652	22	(6,724)	\$ 87,810
Tennessee	\$35K	3.0%	3.9%	24.2%	1,357	87	(9,508)	\$ 122,940
Tennessee	\$50K	6.8%	8.0%	36.5%	3,073	468	(13,292)	\$ 175,630
Tennessee	\$75K	18.5%	19.5%	53.7%	8,294	1,920	(15,794)	\$ 263,440
Tennessee	\$100K	38.1%	37.2%	66.7%	17,122	4,935	(12,835)	\$ 351,250
Tennessee	\$125K	54.8%	54.3%	76.5%	24,621	6,863	(9,743)	\$ 439,070
Tennessee	\$150K	66.7%	65.5%	83.4%	29,943	8,521	(7,501)	\$ 526,880
Tennessee	\$200K	81.0%	79.3%	90.8%	36,368	10,430	(4,412)	\$ 702,510
Tennessee	\$250K	87.6%	86.9%	94.4%	39,320	10,899	(3,036)	\$ 878,140
Tennessee	\$500K	96.3%	95.9%	98.1%	43,213	11,849	(810)	\$ 1,756,290
Tennessee	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	44,892	12,291	-	\$ -
Texas	\$15K	0.3%	0.3%	8.4%	513	26	(14,854)	\$ 46,380
Texas	\$25K	1.1%	1.1%	14.9%	1,948	259	(25,374)	\$ 77,300
Texas	\$35K	2.4%	2.4%	22.2%	4,428	724	(36,163)	\$ 108,220
Texas	\$50K	5.9%	5.8%	32.9%	10,851	1,998	(49,471)	\$ 154,610
Texas	\$75K	17.6%	16.1%	49.2%	32,276	7,726	(57,802)	\$ 231,910
Texas	\$100K	37.4%	36.2%	61.8%	68,467	13,176	(44,701)	\$ 309,220
Texas	\$125K	55.6%	53.8%	71.6%	101,838	19,667	(29,181)	\$ 386,520
Texas	\$150K	67.7%	66.6%	78.8%	123,884	22,045	(20,351)	\$ 463,830
Texas	\$200K	81.3%	80.9%	87.6%	148,827	25,188	(11,592)	\$ 618,440
Texas	\$250K	88.0%	87.8%	91.9%	161,196	26,963	(7,067)	\$ 773,050
Texas	\$500K	96.8%	96.7%	97.1%	177,156	29,395	(536)	\$ 1,546,100
Texas	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	183,083	30,245	-	\$ -

Metro Area	Income Level	Share of affordable listings - March 2025	Share of affordable listings - March 2024	Share of affordable listings - Balanced Market	Number of affordable listings-March 2025	Number of additional affordable listings in the past year	Number of affordable listings missing from the market	Maximum Price
Utah	\$15K	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	8	5	(944)	\$ 56,110
Utah	\$25K	0.1%	0.1%	9.1%	26	8	(1,699)	\$ 93,510
Utah	\$35K	0.2%	0.2%	14.2%	45	11	(2,652)	\$ 130,910
Utah	\$50K	0.7%	0.8%	22.9%	133	18	(4,204)	\$ 187,020
Utah	\$75K	4.1%	3.5%	38.5%	769	241	(6,517)	\$ 280,530
Utah	\$100K	14.3%	13.9%	52.5%	2,700	577	(7,233)	\$ 374,030
Utah	\$125K	33.2%	32.9%	64.4%	6,295	1,278	(5,891)	\$ 467,540
Utah	\$150K	50.1%	49.6%	73.8%	9,485	1,936	(4,486)	\$ 561,050
Utah	\$200K	71.2%	69.9%	85.1%	13,474	2,828	(2,639)	\$ 748,070
Utah	\$250K	81.4%	80.4%	91.0%	15,407	3,156	(1,821)	\$ 935,090
Utah	\$500K	93.2%	92.6%	96.9%	17,644	3,545	(707)	\$ 1,870,190
Utah	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	18,935	3,704	-	\$ -
Vermont	\$15K	1.4%	1.6%	7.1%	35	3	(143)	\$ 49,130
Vermont	\$25K	2.9%	2.8%	13.6%	73	18	(266)	\$ 81,880
Vermont	\$35K	4.1%	4.8%	20.4%	103	10	(408)	\$ 114,630
Vermont	\$50K	7.0%	8.1%	30.5%	174	15	(589)	\$ 163,750
Vermont	\$75K	15.3%	18.1%	46.3%	384	31	(775)	\$ 245,630
Vermont	\$100K	27.7%	30.4%	59.6%	694	101	(798)	\$ 327,510
Vermont	\$125K	41.1%	43.8%	70.2%	1,029	175	(727)	\$ 409,390
Vermont	\$150K	52.1%	53.8%	78.4%	1,303	253	(659)	\$ 491,270
Vermont	\$200K	69.1%	68.8%	88.5%	1,728	386	(486)	\$ 655,020
Vermont	\$250K	79.1%	78.5%	93.3%	1,979	447	(355)	\$ 818,780
Vermont	\$500K	92.3%	92.1%	97.9%	2,309	512	(141)	\$ 1,637,570
Vermont	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	2,502	551	-	\$ -
Virginia	\$15K	0.4%	0.7%	7.0%	135	(25)	(2,033)	\$ 52,180
Virginia	\$25K	1.1%	1.5%	12.5%	346	(21)	(3,513)	\$ 86,970
Virginia	\$35K	2.2%	2.7%	18.3%	693	42	(4,950)	\$ 121,760
Virginia	\$50K	5.4%	6.6%	27.2%	1,654	57	(6,748)	\$ 173,940
Virginia	\$75K	16.9%	18.1%	41.5%	5,217	797	(7,609)	\$ 260,910
Virginia	\$100K	33.3%	35.9%	53.5%	10,284	1,540	(6,244)	\$ 347,880
Virginia	\$125K	49.0%	51.7%	63.7%	15,147	2,549	(4,533)	\$ 434,850
Virginia	\$150K	60.4%	62.8%	71.8%	18,663	3,361	(3,524)	\$ 521,820
Virginia	\$200K	76.2%	78.0%	82.4%	23,537	4,526	(1,932)	\$ 695,770
Virginia	\$250K	85.4%	86.6%	87.9%	26,374	5,276	(770)	\$ 869,710
Virginia	\$500K	96.3%	96.4%	94.8%	29,764	6,287	481	\$ 1,739,420
Virginia	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	30,898	6,545	-	\$ -
Washington	\$15K	0.4%	0.1%	6.7%	120	103	(1,799)	\$ 53,840
Washington	\$25K	0.5%	0.2%	11.5%	142	98	(3,149)	\$ 89,730
Washington	\$35K	0.7%	0.4%	16.8%	197	96	(4,601)	\$ 125,620
Washington	\$50K	1.2%	1.0%	25.3%	331	86	(6,903)	\$ 179,460
Washington	\$75K	4.2%	3.8%	39.4%	1,213	301	(10,060)	\$ 269,190
Washington	\$100K	12.3%	11.8%	51.9%	3,513	667	(11,343)	\$ 358,920
Washington	\$125K	25.0%	24.1%	62.7%	7,163	1,333	(10,762)	\$ 448,650
Washington	\$150K	38.5%	38.0%	71.1%	11,009	1,808	(9,322)	\$ 538,380
Washington	\$200K	60.5%	61.2%	81.8%	17,312	2,502	(6,078)	\$ 717,840
Washington	\$250K	74.6%	75.0%	87.3%	21,322	3,175	(3,655)	\$ 897,300
Washington	\$500K	93.5%	93.8%	94.5%	26,733	4,053	(303)	\$ 1,794,610
Washington	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	28,600	4,416	-	\$ -
West Virginia	\$15K	5.2%	7.2%	11.5%	279	(81)	(332)	\$ 51,220
West Virginia	\$25K	11.4%	14.7%	21.3%	606	(124)	(531)	\$ 85,360
West Virginia	\$35K	18.2%	21.5%	30.7%	968	(103)	(668)	\$ 119,510
West Virginia	\$50K	32.4%	36.5%	43.1%	1,728	(91)	(571)	\$ 170,730
West Virginia	\$75K	51.5%	56.0%	60.0%	2,744	(45)	(456)	\$ 256,090
West Virginia	\$100K	69.7%	72.6%	72.1%	3,714	99	(131)	\$ 341,460
West Virginia	\$125K	82.0%	83.5%	81.2%	4,373	214	45	\$ 426,830
West Virginia	\$150K	88.3%	89.2%	87.5%	4,710	269	43	\$ 512,190
West Virginia	\$200K	94.5%	94.6%	93.7%	5,037	327	40	\$ 682,920
West Virginia	\$250K	97.0%	97.1%	96.4%	5,172	337	33	\$ 853,650
West Virginia	\$500K	99.4%	99.2%	99.0%	5,298	358	22	\$ 1,707,310
West Virginia	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	5,332	351	-	\$ -
Wisconsin	\$15K	0.2%	0.6%	7.2%	36	(60)	(1,175)	\$ 48,090
Wisconsin	\$25K	1.3%	1.8%	13.8%	214	(59)	(2,092)	\$ 80,140
Wisconsin	\$35K	3.0%	4.2%	21.0%	502	(135)	(3,003)	\$ 112,200
Wisconsin	\$50K	8.8%	10.1%	32.2%	1,474	(53)	(3,918)	\$ 160,280
Wisconsin	\$75K	24.9%	26.6%	49.6%	4,163	163	(4,130)	\$ 240,430
Wisconsin	\$100K	42.0%	43.2%	63.3%	7,024	528	(3,553)	\$ 320,570

Metro Area	Income Level	Share of affordable listings - March 2025	Share of affordable listings - March 2024	Share of affordable listings - Balanced Market	Number of affordable listings-March 2025	Number of additional affordable listings in the past year	Number of affordable listings missing from the market	Maximum Price
Wisconsin	\$125K	59.5%	58.3%	74.1%	9,949	1,167	(2,447)	\$ 400,710
Wisconsin	\$150K	71.2%	71.1%	82.1%	11,907	1,197	(1,812)	\$ 480,860
Wisconsin	\$200K	84.7%	85.3%	90.6%	14,163	1,319	(994)	\$ 641,140
Wisconsin	\$250K	92.2%	91.7%	94.5%	15,413	1,606	(386)	\$ 801,430
Wisconsin	\$500K	98.3%	98.1%	98.4%	16,428	1,661	(18)	\$ 1,602,860
Wisconsin	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	16,720	1,666	-	\$ -
Wyoming	\$15K	0.4%	0.6%	7.5%	10	(5)	(196)	\$ 55,130
Wyoming	\$25K	1.3%	1.8%	14.3%	36	(6)	(359)	\$ 91,880
Wyoming	\$35K	2.5%	3.5%	21.5%	70	(11)	(524)	\$ 128,630
Wyoming	\$50K	6.3%	8.0%	31.9%	173	(13)	(705)	\$ 183,760
Wyoming	\$75K	20.1%	21.1%	48.5%	555	66	(781)	\$ 275,650
Wyoming	\$100K	36.8%	39.6%	62.3%	1,015	94	(702)	\$ 367,530
Wyoming	\$125K	54.5%	52.3%	73.1%	1,501	286	(514)	\$ 459,420
Wyoming	\$150K	64.7%	62.7%	81.6%	1,783	327	(464)	\$ 551,300
Wyoming	\$200K	78.0%	76.3%	90.8%	2,150	378	(351)	\$ 735,070
Wyoming	\$250K	84.0%	83.8%	94.7%	2,314	367	(296)	\$ 918,840
Wyoming	\$500K	92.3%	92.4%	98.4%	2,542	395	(169)	\$ 1,837,680
Wyoming	\$500K+	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	2,755	432	-	\$ -